Docket No.: 041-1714BRI



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re REISSUE Application for

U.S. Patent 5,815,293

Yoshiaki KOMMA et al.

Group Art Unit: 2872

Serial No. 09/671,674

Examiner: JUBA JR., JOHN

Filed: September 27, 2000

For:

COMPOUND OBJECTIVE LENS FOR OPTICAL DISKS HAVING

DIFFERENT THICKNESSES, as amended

SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

As required by the Examiner in the pending Official Action, the patentees provide herewith a Supplemental Declaration, identifying (at paragraph 7 of page 3) the drawing changes and (at paragraphs 8 and 9) any other changes made in the patent.

It is respectfully submitted that, in view of the Supplemental Declaration provided herewith, the original patent surrendered herewith, and the Response to the Official Action, reissue of the above identified patent is in order.

The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if any questions should arise with respect to the accompanying Declaration.

Respectfully submitted, CLARK& BRODY

Israel Gopstein

Registration No. 27,333

1750 K Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20006

Date: July 9, 2004

(202) 835-1111 (202) 835-1755 (fax) Docket No. 041-1714BRI

Serial No. 09/671,674

REISSUE APPLICATION SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION BY INVENTOR

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is described and claimed in patent number 5.815,293, granted September 29, 1998, and for which a reissue patent is sought on the invention entitled COMPOUND OBJECTIVE LENS FOR OPTICAL DISKS HAVING DIFFERENT THICKNESSES, the specification of which is attached hereto.

| X | was filed on | September 27, 2000 | as reissue application number 09 / 671.674 | and was amended on | January 22, 2003, November 12, 2003, December 6, 2003 and on the date of filing the present Supplemental Declaration.

I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

I verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid, for the reasons described below. (Check all boxes that apply.)

by reason of a defective specification or drawing.

by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right to claim in the patent.

by reason of other errors.

At least one error upon which reissue is based is described as follows:

The issued patent is partially defective because the issued claims are narrower than they should be in view of the patent's disclosure and the prior art, and because the issued claims fail to recite fundamental features of the invention in the breadth to which they are entitled.

I believe the patent is at least partially defective because all of the issued claims are drawn to a compound objective lens including a lens having particular surfaces implementing particular functions, and to a plural focal point generating means generating a plurality of beams of divided light and converging the beams at focal points on a side facing a specific one of the surfaces, and conditioned on the two beams being transmitted through two substrates and being converged on information recording planes placed at two different distances from surfaces of two substrates at diffraction limits.

However, I believe that the claims should have been more broadly directed to a more fundamental feature of the invention, based on relationships of the numerical apertures NA of the

Reissue Oath, U.S. Patent 5,815,293

Page 2

compound objective lens and the positions of at least two kinds of focal points to be focused, as illustrated by thicknesses T1 and T2 of two kinds of optical disks.

We, the patentees, have described at col. 3, lines 31-50 of the reissue application for example, a solution to a problem arising from increased chromatic aberration in imaging optical systems when the numerical aperture is increased, as occurs in conventional attempts to obtain a high-density memory capacity of an optical disk. As part of our invention we have disclosed a manner of effectively avoiding the problem by making the optical disk thinner and, if the optical disk is to be of a larger thickness such as used in conventional CD's, by making the numerical aperture smaller.

I further believe that, in accordance with our disclosure, such a feature may be implemented by simultaneously controlling the numerical apertures (NA1 and NA2) and the thicknesses (T1 and T2) of optical disks, and that it had not been known in the prior art that different numerical apertures NA1 and NA2 were adaptable to one optical lens so as to make possible focusing of plural focal points at different distances.

I therefore believe that the claims to the present invention should have been more broadly drawn to the inventive concept of providing an optical lens having a plurality of numerical apertures and capable of producing a plurality of focal points at different distances, as this feature of the invention advantageously permits both a conventional optical disk and a high-density-memory-capacity optical disk to be handled by one optical lens, inter alia.

I believe that the scope of the present invention is more fundamentally and broadly recited by reference to numerical apertures (NA1 and NA2) which are not equal to one another and to distances (thicknesses T1 and T2) that are not equal to each other.

Although issued claim 14 refers to compound objective lens in which at least two beams are converged at focal points positioned "at a first distance T1 from a surface of the first substrate at a diffraction limit and ... at a second distance T2 (T1 \neq T2)" and "in which a numerical aperture of the lens means for the incident light converged at one focal point of the focal points differs from that for the incident light converged at another focal point of the focal points", it is my belief that this recitation of the invention is unduly narrowed by the presence of additional limitations found in the parent claim thereof and that the additional limitations were not added to the features of claim 14 to obtain allowance thereof.

It is my further belief that the features of the invention are more particularly and effectively expressed by recitation of a compound objective lens, comprising a region to produce a focal point on an information plane through a layer, wherein the region of the lens is divided into a plurality of regions including at least both of a first region and a second region by dividing the region of the lens depending on differences in a distance from an optical axis of the lens, the first region being located farther than a position of the second region from the optical axis, the second region being optimized so that the lens has a numerical aperture NA2 to produce a focal point through a second layer on an information plane placed at a distance T2 from the surface of the second layer, and both of the first region and the second region being optimized so that the lens has a numerical aperture NA1 (N1 is not equal to N2) to produce a focal point through a first layer on an information plane placed at a distance T1 (T1 is not equal to T2) from the surface of the first layer."

Reissue Oath, U.S. Patent 5, 615,293

Page 3

I further believe that, in one particular mode of the invention, NA1>NA2 and T1<T2.

However, during the prosecution of the issued patent, Applicants failed to submit a claim directly including the above limitations of "NA1 is not equal to NA2" and "T1 is not equal to T2."

I therefore believe that, for this reason, the patent should be reissued.

The issued patent is further partially defective because a number of claims pending therein were inadvertently cancelled, although not rejected over prior art, and although the claims had been identified by the examiner as being linked to the issued claims.

I particularly believe that claims 154, 173, 234 and 210 in the application which matured into the issued patent, which were identified by the examiner as linking the structure of issued claim 1 to several other groups of claims, were cancelled irrespective of Applicants' directions to their attorney to retain the claims in the application and irrespective of the fact that the claims were not rejected over prior art. I thus believe that these claims, as well as the claims dependent therefrom, such as then pending claims 140, 148, 150, 155-162, 163,164-167, 168, 170, 171, 172, 174-194, 195, 196-202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207-209, and 211-214, would have been examined and allowed upon finding issued claim 1 allowable over the prior art.

I therefore also submit herewith various claims corresponding to various of the cancelled claims.

I further believe that the issued patent is partially defective because of a drawing error in Fig. 5 therein, wherein reference numerals 26A and 26B were inadvertently interchanged, which error is corrected by an amended drawing sheet filed November 12, 2003.

All errors corrected in this reissue application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicants.

Every error corrected in this reissue application which is not covered by a prior declaration submitted in the application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicants.

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Name(s) and Registration Number(s)

Israel Gopstein, Esq.

27,333

Correspondence Address:

Direct all communications about the application to:

Israel Gopstein, Esq. Clark & Brody 1750 K Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 2006

Phone:

(202) 835-1111

Fax:

(202) 835-1755

Reissue Oath, U.S. Patent 5,815,293

Prior Foreign Application(s)

Page 4

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

| Application No. | Country | Day/Month/Year filed | Yes No |
|--|--|--|---|
| 5-14432 | JAPAN | 1 FEBRUARY, 1993 | \square |
| 5-193353 | JAPAN | 4 AUGUST 1993 | \square |
| statements made on statements were ma punishable by fine a | information and to de with the knowled and imprisonment, pardize the validity | ade herein of my own knowledge a belief are believed to be true; and f edge that willful false statements a or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001, an of the application, any patent issu- ected. | urther that these and the like so made are ad that such willful false |
| Yoshiaki KOMMA | | | |
| Full name of sole or firs | | • | |
| | Ri from | na June 16, 2004 | |
| Inventor's signature Osaka, JAPAN | | Date | |
| Residence | | | |
| 36-7, Kuzuhamentor Post Office Address | i-cho 1-chome, Hir | akata-shi, Osaka, JAPAN | Japanese Citizenship |
| Sadao MIZUNO | | | |
| Full name of second join | nt inventor (given nam | e, family name) | |
| Sadair | mizano | - June 16, 2004 | |
| Inventor's signature | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Date | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Osaka, JAPAN | | • | |
| Residence | (hamabi abi Osaba | T A Th A NT | ₹. |
| 2-22-10, Shirakawa, I Post Office Address | ioaraki-siii, Osaka | JAPAN | Japanese Citizenship |
| Seiji NISHINO | | | |
| Full name of third joint i | nventor (given name, | family name) | |
| Sein Die | Penor | June 16, 2004 | |
| Inventor's signature | | Date | - |
| Osaka, JAPAN | | | |
| Residence | | | |

11-15, Kitabatake 2-chome, Abeno-ku, Osaka, JAPAN

Post Office Address

Japanese

Citizenship